

## Communication from Public

**Name:** coni--RSO Housing Provider

**Date Submitted:** 12/01/2022 11:53 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0042-S3

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a Mom and Pop Housing provider, I urge the City Council to end the eviction Moratorium and end the rent increase freeze. What the city council has done shows not to have knowledge of how the economic system works in the housing industry. When you froze the RSO Housing rent increases 4 years ago, you forgot to freeze the county property tax and freeze your salary increases (\$200,000 + per council member). I urge each council members to Vote on the related draft ordinance that provides an end date to the emergency measures. In the city of L.A. where I live, an RSO "4-plex" down the street has a For Sale sign. Another RSO "4-plex" three houses up the street has not rented for six months after owner renovated it, the For Rent sign is fading. Mom and Pop RSO rental housing contribute to 30% of the whole rental stock with accessible rental fees, If 50% of these owners were to sell their rentals to wealthy corporations (developers from out of state or country), LA city would experience a quick reduction of rental housing that would triple homelessness in our street. Mom and Pop RSO renters can not survive anymore with the ever increasing property taxes, the high costs of property upkeep and "max-ed' out credit cards. Please, vote on the new ordinance to end the rental increase and the covid 19--emergency measures. The city needs to build apartment units quickly at very low costs to house those in need of housing at a price they can afford or temporarily free for others. Ripping off the the MOM and POP RSO housing providers (multi-family housing containing two to four units in a single residential or commercial lot). It's your choice to drive the housing stock of the city into a brighter direction by being inclusive. Mom and Pop housing providers are not the housing problem.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Housing LA at the cost of....

**Date Submitted:** 12/02/2022 07:56 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0042-S3

**Comments for Public Posting:** Nithya, Dawson, Hugo, eunissess and the others in Cc - at what cost and on whose dime are you pushing these policies to house LA ? The very housing providers who housed and provided shelter for last 3 years are now being abused at the hands of your policies ! Our rents are 30% below market ! 30%!!! Yet we cannot even collect the bare minimum rent that the tenants have legally obligated to sign. My tenant wants to live the Malibu lifestyle and yet doesn't want to pay the rent . Where is covid here ? The job data is still strong, where is the balance ? It took only 3 years for this cc to distinguish between corporate and small housing providers ?!! All that money and research that was done and myriad of reports from LAHD , not one attempt to distinguish us . we are not big corporates , we are exactly the same kind of people your tenants are. Only difference - we work hard, we have morals and values to keep up our obligation to the city, to the state and to our children ! To do the right thing. To teach hardwork, teach to be truthful, teach them to not cheat, steal , destroy and gaslight people which is what you and your tenant advocates are doing. Just because we provided housing doesn't mean you can take over our financial ability and control and property rights. So I ask again - at what cost are you continuing the covid eviction moratorium. Clearly not your livelihood, clearly not your retirement , clearly not your kids education and clearly not your sanity . it is all on our dime - mom and pop housing providers like myself who is dealing with 20k in rental debt , not sure how much more with your lack of decisioning. You guys suck .

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/02/2022 08:02 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0042-S3

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please do NOT vote to finalize the end of the eviction moratorium today. What the recent scandal in the LA city council has made clear is that the current membership does not represent our city. Therefore, please use this opportunity to vote to extend the eviction moratorium today. The vote to end needs to happen when we have members who better represent Los Angelenos and our interests. Even the supposedly “pro-tenant” members (such as Nithya Raman) voted on the recommendations of the LAHD report without considering its many nuances and ramifications. What if a renter became disabled as a result of the pandemic? We know 1/5 people who get infected with covid develop long covid. This newly disabled person could be forced into homelessness. What if someone was in an RSO unit that was under an Ellis Act eviction prior to the pandemic? This person could be newly disabled. That person could be forced onto the streets without relocation assistance and without the extra year that is given to disabled people before having to vacate. Does anyone realize that relocation assistance can be used for back rent according to the LAHD recommendations? That means that a newly disabled RSO tenant whose Ellis Act eviction was filed prior to the pandemic could be forced onto the streets with zero relocation assistance. Does anyone realize that approved Housing Is Key funds have yet to be distributed in some cases? The simple truth we want to ignore is that the pandemic is not over. New waves with variants and other viruses that evade prior immunity are expected to crest. While President Biden bragged on 60 minutes the pandemic is over, he later signed more protection measures. Even if we could set aside the pandemic, there are many crises that are brewing: inflation, food, energy, water, homelessness, recession, pandemics other than covid, and the threat of nuclear war. Until the economy has stabilized, tenants can reasonably repay their back rent by the given deadlines and afford their current rent, it is reckless to remove the protections. Thank you for reading.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 12/02/2022 08:03 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0042-S3

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please do NOT vote to finalize the end of the eviction moratorium today. What the recent scandal in the LA city council has made clear is that the current membership does not represent our city. Therefore, please use this opportunity to vote to extend the eviction moratorium today. The vote to end needs to happen when we have members who better represent Los Angelenos and our interests. Even the supposedly “pro-tenant” members (such as Nithya Raman) voted on the recommendations of the LAHD report without considering its many nuances and ramifications. What if a renter became disabled as a result of the pandemic? We know 1/5 people who get infected with covid develop long covid. This newly disabled person could be forced into homelessness. What if someone was in an RSO unit that was under an Ellis Act eviction prior to the pandemic? This person could be newly disabled. That person could be forced onto the streets without relocation assistance and without the extra year that is given to disabled people before having to vacate. Does anyone realize that relocation assistance can be used for back rent according to the LAHD recommendations? That means that a newly disabled RSO tenant whose Ellis Act eviction was filed prior to the pandemic could be forced onto the streets with zero relocation assistance. Does anyone realize that approved Housing Is Key funds have yet to be distributed in some cases? The simple truth we want to ignore is that the pandemic is not over. New waves with variants and other viruses that evade prior immunity are expected to crest. While President Biden bragged on 60 minutes the pandemic is over, he later signed more protection measures. Even if we could set aside the pandemic, there are many crises that are brewing: inflation, food, energy, water, homelessness, recession, pandemics other than covid, and the threat of nuclear war. Until the economy has stabilized, tenants can reasonably repay their back rent by the given deadlines and afford their current rent, it is reckless to remove the protections. Thank you for reading.